Date: \_\_\_\_\_ June 7, 2017

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Gregory Warren Wade  Defendant	Case No. 1:17-mj-00148-ESC
After conducting a detention hearing under the Ba that the defendant be detained pending trial.	nil Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part :	I – Findings of Fact
	ibed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C which the prison term is 10 years or more	C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum senten	nce is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison te	erm of ten years or more is prescribed in:
U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	
any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out involves:
the possession or use of a fire a failure to register under 18 l	earm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon U.S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was commit or local offense.	tted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since offense described in finding (1).	the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable p person or the community. I further find that defe	resumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe endant has not rebutted that presumption.
•	native Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	
for which a maximum prison term of ten younger 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ears or more is prescribed in:
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumptior will reasonably assure the defendant's appearar	n established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nce and the safety of the community.
Alter  ✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	rnative Findings (B) of appear.
,	idanger the safety of another person or the community.
	nt of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
<ol> <li>Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not t</li> <li>Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing deten</li> </ol>	tion to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
Part III – Dire	ctions Regarding Detention
corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opposition.	Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending portunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the ance.

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge